# Brønsted Acid Catalyzed Monoalkylation of Anilines with Trichloroacetimidates

Daniel R. Wallach, Patrick C. Stege, Jigisha P. Shah, and John D. Chisholm\*

Department of Chemistry, 1-014 Center for Science and Technology, Syracuse University, Syrac[use](#page-6-0), New York 13244, United States

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-6-0)STRACT: [Trichloroace](#page-6-0)timidates are useful alkylating agents for aromatic amines, requiring only a catalytic amount of a Brønsted acid to facilitate the reaction. Monoalkylation predominates under these conditions. Electron-poor anilines provide superior yields, with electron-rich anilines sometimes showing competitive Friedel−Crafts alkylation. A single flask



protocol with formation of the imidate in situ is demonstrated, providing a convenient method for the direct substitution of alcohols with anilines. Reaction with a chiral imidate favors a mechanism that proceeds through a carbocation intermediate.

ur recent findings on the spontaneous reaction of trichloroacetimidates with carboxylic  $acids<sup>1</sup>$  led us to speculate about the reactivity of these alkylating agents with other functional groups, including anilines. Substit[u](#page-6-0)ted anilines are a common structural unit often found in natural products and other bioactive compounds (Figure 1). For example, a



Figure 1. Aniline-based pharmaceuticals and natural products.

substituted aniline core is found in the cholesterol lowering drug ezetimibe  $(1)^2$ . Biologically active natural products also commonly contain substituted anilines, like the topoisomerase inhibitor bicolori[ne](#page-6-0)  $(2)$ ,<sup>3</sup> the tyrosine kinase inhibitor lavendustin A  $(3)$ ,<sup>4</sup> and the lipoxygenase inhibitor onosmin B  $(4)$ .<sup>5</sup> Substituted anilines [al](#page-6-0)so frequently appear in molecules used in sensor a[pp](#page-6-0)lications and other synthetic receptors.<sup>6</sup> Giv[en](#page-6-0) the ubiquity of N-alkyl anilines, new methods for their formation from readily available precursors like trichloroacet[i](#page-6-0)midates are in high demand.

A search of the literature revealed that tert-butyl-2,2,2 trichloroacetimidate has been occasionally used for the alkylation of anilines, typically with  $BF_3$ ·OEt<sub>2</sub> as the catalyst or promoter. More recently, copper $(II)$  triflate in nitromethane was reported to provide improved conversions for this transformation.<sup>8</sup> Additionally, allylic trichloroacetimidates have been used as substrates for transition-metal-catalyzed allylic amination wit[h](#page-6-0) aniline nucleophiles. These reactions<sup>9</sup> employ catalysts based on rhodium,<sup>10</sup> iridium,<sup>11</sup> or palladium.<sup>12</sup> Fewer studies have evaluated protic acids in these N-su[b](#page-6-0)stitution reactions, al[th](#page-6-0)ough  $(PhO)_{2}PO_{2}H$  $(PhO)_{2}PO_{2}H$  $(PhO)_{2}PO_{2}H$  was [rec](#page-6-0)ently used with aniline and a highly reactive isatin derived trichloroacetimidate<sup>13</sup> and TsOH was evaluated in the alkylation of anilines with tert-butyl-2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate.<sup>8</sup>

As previous studies have generally focused on specific imidates, we set out to fi[nd](#page-6-0) more general conditions that could be applied to a greater variety of reaction partners. Initial experiments were performed with imidate  $5^{14}$  and 2,5dichloroaniline 6 (Table 1). No reaction between the imidate and the aniline was observed in control experime[nts](#page-6-0) performed without an acid catalyst ([en](#page-1-0)tries 1 and 2). The use of  $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ as a catalyst provided a 33% yield of monoalkylated aniline 7a. This reaction also gave a number of side products including dialkylation of the aniline nitrogen, which made isolation and purification of the desired monoalkylation product difficult. Repeating the  $BF_3$ ·OEt<sub>2</sub> reaction with an excess of aniline to suppress dialkylation of the nitrogen did provide an improved yield, but dialkylation and other products were still apparent in the crude  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR spectra. These polyalkylation products may be less problematic with the more sterically hindered tert-butyl-2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate, but this side product formation seems to be a significant issue with less encumbered imidates. The switch was then made to less powerful Brønsted acid catalysts in the hope of improving the selectivity for monoalkylation of the aniline nitrogen. The use of diphenylphosphoric acid provided a 31% yield of the desired Nsubstituted aniline 7a. Promisingly, only unreacted starting materials and N-alkylation product 7a were observed in the

Received: December 1, 2014 Published: January 8, 2015

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction of Imidate 5 with 2,5- Dichloroaniline 6

NΗ CCI <sub>3</sub> 5 Ph 1.2 equiv	10 mol % СI acid catalyst 6 + CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> $H_2N$ CI rt, 24 h	CI HN r. 7a Ph
entry	catalyst	% yield
1	none	$\mathbf{0}$
$2^a$	none	$\mathbf{0}$
3	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$	33 $(68^b)$
$\overline{4}$	(PhO) <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>2</sub> H	31
5	(BnO), PO, H	$\mathbf{0}$
6	p-TsOH	59
7	$(\pm)$ -CSA	97
8	DNBSA <sup>e</sup>	83
9 <sup>c</sup>	$(\pm)$ -CSA	82
10 <sup>d</sup>	$(\pm)$ -CSA	22

 $\mathrm{^a}$ Heated to reflux in toluene for 24 h.  $\mathrm{^b}$ <sup>a</sup>Heated to reflux in toluene for 24 h. <sup>p</sup>1.1 equiv of aniline **6** was used. <br><sup>c</sup>2.4 equiv of imidate was used. <sup>d</sup>5 mol % catalyst was used. <sup>c</sup>DNBSA = 2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfonic acid.

reaction mixture, with no polyalkylation products being observed. Building on this result, a number of Brønsted acid catalysts were screened in the N-substitution reaction. (±)-Camphorsulfonic acid (CSA) provided the highest yield of the monoalkylation product. Interestingly, only a monoalkylation product was obtained even when excess imidate was used (entry 9). Given the selectivity of this protocol for monoalkylation, these conditions were chosen for evaluation in further studies.

Next, a number of aniline nucleophiles were evaluated for their reactivity (Table 2). Electron-poor anilines proved to be the best substrates for the substitution reaction. This is notable and quite useful, as electron-deficient anilines are typically difficult substrates for reductive aminations, and even with the





a Reaction performed in toluene at reflux.

addition of molecular sieves and Lewis acids to facilitate imine formation, yields are often moderate.<sup>15</sup> More electron-rich aniline nucleophiles gave lower isolated yields of monoalkylated products (like 7h and 7i), and oft[en](#page-6-0) the crude reaction mixtures appeared to be contaminated with side products from Friedel−Crafts reactions that led to difficult purifications (trichloroacetimidates have been shown to participate in Friedel–Crafts alkylations<sup>16</sup>). In some cases where the yields were moderate (Table 2, entries 4 and 9), heating the reaction to reflux in toluene pro[vid](#page-6-0)ed a higher yield of the desired product. Sterics also appeared to play a significant role in the yield of the N-alkylation reaction. While one ortho substituent was well tolerated on the aniline, the incorporation of two ortho substituents effectively stopped all alkylation (Table 2, entry 14). N-Alkyl anilines readily participated in the reaction, with N-methylaniline and indoline providing good yields of alkylated products 7n and 7o, respectively. With the alkylation of the anilines performing well, we attempted to expand the scope to the more basic alkyl amines using morpholine as the nucleophile. No N-alkylation products were observed with morpholine (Table 2, entry 17), even when heated in toluene with CSA, only unreacted starting materials were observed in the reaction mixture.

Evaluation of the substrate scope with respect to imidate electrophile was also undertaken (Table 3). Most unhindered benzylic imidates were effective in the alkylation reaction. Only the electron-poor 4-cyanobenzyl imidate 14 (entry 4) gave poor conversion. In this case, the reactio[n](#page-2-0) had to be heated to reflux in toluene to obtain product 15, as no reaction was observed at room temperature in  $CH_2Cl_2$ . Allylic trichloroacetimidates 20 and 22 also provided good yields of product (Table 3, entries 8 and 9), providing the mono-N-Alkylated products in excellent yield. The tertiary benzylic imidate 24 gave m[od](#page-2-0)erate yield of N-alkyl product 25 as this reaction is slowed by the steric encumbrance of the hindered imidate. The tert-butyl-2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate 26 gave only a low yield of the corresponding tert-butyl aniline 27. Evidently, the Brønsted acid catalyzed conditions are more mild than the Lewis acid catalyzed conditions typically employed for this imidate $\alpha$  and, combined with the greater steric requirements of 2,5 dichloroaniline compared to aniline, resulted in a r[ed](#page-6-0)uced yield (most reactions with tert-butyl-2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate 26 and aniline also require a large excess (2−5 equiv) of the imidate for good conversion). These results are consistent with the recent report on the copper triflate catalyzed alkylation of anilines with imidate 26 where special conditions and excess imidate are needed to obtain high yields.<sup>8</sup> No alkylation product was observed in the reaction of the ethyl imidate (entry 12), even under forcing conditions (toluen[e](#page-6-0), reflux, 24 h). Alternatively, the phthalimidomethyl imidate 30 (entry 13) gave N-alkylated product 31 in excellent yield. One interesting facet of these alkylations was the preference for substitution over elimination in benzylic systems like 7a and 19. In order to further investigate the preference for substitution, the doubly unsaturated imidate 32 that is more prone to elimination was explored as a reaction partner (entry 14). This substitution provided the desired N-alkyl aniline 33 in 63% yield but required 72 h to proceed to completion. Some elimination side products were observed in this reaction, but given the simplicity of the reaction conditions, the selectivity for substitution over elimination is impressive. In the cases where some elimination was observed in the crude NMR (Table 3, entries 6, 7, 10, and 14), the signals for the minor alkene byproducts in the <sup>1</sup>H

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

<sup>a</sup>Reaction performed in toluene at reflux. <sup>b</sup>Reaction performed with BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> (10 mol %) <sup>c</sup>Reaction was performed at room temperature  $\int_{0}^{2\pi}$   $\int_{0}^{2\pi}$ 

NMR were contaminated with other impurities that made quantification difficult. Attempts to isolate and quantify these side-products were thwarted by the presence of other nonpolar impurities and the volatility of the alkenes.

A single flask method for the conversion of alcohols to substituted anilines was then developed. The use of alcohols as alkylating agents for anilines typically requires a transitionmetal catalyst and high temperatures.<sup>17</sup> In contrast, a direct procedure through the imidate intermediate would avoid the use of transition metals and procee[d a](#page-6-0)t room temperature. Taking the desired alcohol and forming the imidate in dichloromethane with 10 mol % DBU catalyst, followed by addition of the aniline and 20 mol %  $(\pm)$ -CSA, proved to be a useful protocol for the synthesis of substituted anilines (Table 4), with yields for the single flask protocol often surpassing the

#### Table 4. Single Flask Synthesis of Monosubstituted Anilines



two-step procedure. In addition to the synthesis of several alkylated anilines, the synthesis of the natural product onosmin B 4 was performed using the methodology. This provided the lipoxygenase inhibitor in 85% yield from the corresponding alcohol. Additionally, the alkylated piperonal derivative 39 was synthesized, which represents a formal synthesis of 5,6 dihydrobicolorine, as this system has been cyclized previously to the natural product in a single step.<sup>18</sup>

The propensity of the N-substitution reaction to displace an enantiomerically enriched imidate wa[s a](#page-6-0)lso investigated with the use of phenethyl imidate  $(R)$ -5. The ability to generate a chiral amine directly from the chiral alcohol via the trichloroacetimidate would be quite useful, but substitution reactions of similar enantiomerically pure imidates with oxygen nucleophiles are challenging and typically provide mixtures of enantiomers as products.<sup>19</sup> Reaction of imidate  $(R)$ -5 with 4chloroaniline 42 (Scheme 1) provided the substituted aniline

#### Scheme 1



product 7c in excellent conversion. Evaluation of the enantiomeric purity of the reaction product revealed that both enantiomers of the aniline 7c were formed in the reaction, as the product was shown to be a 35:65 mixture of the R and S enantiomers.

These results and the inability to alkylate ethyl trichloroacetimidate (Table 3, entry 12) are consistent with a carbocation intermediate in the reaction, which precludes high levels of stereocon[tr](#page-2-0)ol (scalemic mixtures often result from cationic processes due to ion pairing $2^{0}$ ). A proposed mechanism is shown in Figure 2 below. Protonation of the



Figure 2. Proposed mechanism.

aniline and imidate is reversible, but protonation of the imidate eventually leads to the formation of a carbocation and loss of trichloroacetamide. Capture of the carbocation by the aniline, followed by proton transfer to another equivalent of aniline, provides the observed alkylated product and regenerates the protonated aniline to turnover the catalytic cycle. More basic amines (like morpholine) are not acidic enough to protonate the imidate, explaining their low reactivity under these conditions.

In summary, a method for alkylating anilines using trichloroacetimidate electrophiles under Brønsted acid catalyzed conditions has been described. Monoalkylation of anilines is primarily observed under these conditions. While most anilines react well, electron-rich anilines provide more moderate yields, often forming side products due to competing Friedel−Crafts alkylations. The more basic alkylamines fail to react under these conditions, as the amine salt formed from their reaction with the catalyst is less acidic and, therefore, not capable of catalyzing the reaction. A range of imidates proved compatible, although the structures must be benzylic, allylic, or tertiary, implicating a mechanism that proceeds through a carbocation intermediate. This new method appears to favor substitution over elimination in sensitive systems such as 33. A one-step protocol where the imidate is formed in situ and then displaced by the aniline nucleophile was also demonstrated as a useful method for the alkylation of aromatic amines with alcohols. The utility of this protocol has been demonstrated in the synthesis of the lipoxygenase inhibitor onosmin and a formal synthesis of 5,6-dihydrobicolorine.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General. All anhydrous reactions were run under a positive pressure of argon or nitrogen. Dichloromethane and THF were dried by passage through an alumina column following the method of Grubbs.<sup>21</sup> Column chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 (230−400 mesh). Melting points are uncorrected. NMR spectra were

recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, with residual chloroform or TMS used as the internal reference.

Representative procedures for the aniline substitution reactions:

Representative Procedure A: Reaction of Imidate 5 with 2,5- Dichloroaniline 6. 1-Phenethyl imidate 5 (0.30 g, 1.13 mmol) and 2,5-dichloroaniline 6 (0.15 g, 0.94 mmol) were added to a flame-dried round-bottom flask under argon. Dry dichloromethane (4 mL) was added, followed by camphorsulfonic acid (0.03 g, 0.11 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. After triethylamine (0.5 mL) was added, the reaction mixture was preadsorbed on silica gel and purified by silica gel chromatography using 19% dichloromethane/80% hexanes/1% triethylamine to give 0.24 g (97%) of substituted aniline 7a as a yellow oil.

Representative Procedure B: Single Flask Synthesis of Monosubstituted Aniline 7a. Phenethyl alcohol 34 (0.33 g, 2.73 mmol) and trichloroacetonitrile (0.33 mL, 3.27 mmol) were added to a flame-dried round-bottom flask under argon. Dry dichloromethane (4 mL) was added, followed by 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (0.04 g, 0.27 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature and monitored for disappearance of the alcohol by TLC (4 h). 2,5- Dichloroaniline 6 (0.37 g, 2.28 mmol) was added, followed by camphorsulfonic acid (0.13 g, 0.54 mmol). The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 24 h. Triethylamine (0.5 mL) was then added, and the reaction mixture was preadsorbed on silica gel and purified by silica gel chromatography using 19% dichloromethane/80% hexanes/1% triethylamine to provide 0.55 g (90%) of substituted aniline 7a as a yellow oil.

2,5-Dichloro-N-(1-phenylethyl)aniline  $(7a)^{22}$  Prepared using procedure A (0.24 g, 97%) from 2,5-dichloroaniline 6 and the known imidate  $5^{14}$  or procedure B (0.55 g, 90[%\)](#page-6-0) from 1-phenethyl alcohol, purified using silica gel chromatography (4% ethyl acetate/ 95% hexanes/1% [tr](#page-6-0)iethylamine). Yellow oil (0.24 g, 97%); TLC  $R_f =$ 0.71 (19% dichloromethane/80% hexanes/1% triethylamine); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.35–7.25 (m, 5H), 7.14 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.54 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.38 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (q, J  $= 6.6$  Hz, 1H), 1.57 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 144.0, 133.6, 129.8, 129.1, 128.5, 127.6, 125.9, 117.3, 117.2, 112.4, 53.5, 25.1.

N-(1-Phenylethyl)aniline (7b).<sup>22</sup> Prepared using procedure A from aniline and the known imidate  $5^{14}$ , purified using silica gel chromatography (95% hexanes[/4%](#page-6-0) ethyl acetate/1% triethylamine). Yellow oil (0.14 g, 76%); TLC  $R_f = 0.59$  $R_f = 0.59$  $R_f = 0.59$  (95% hexanes/4% ethyl acetate/1% triethylamine); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.38–7.28 (m, 4H), 7.25−7.24 (m, 1H), 7.12−7.06 (m, 2H), 6.66 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.54 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 4.48 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.53 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 147.5, 145.4, 129.4, 128.9, 127.1, 126.1, 117.5, 113.6, 53.7, 25.3.

4-Chloro-N-(1-phenylethyl)aniline  $(Zc)$ .<sup>23</sup> Prepared using procedure A from 4-chloroaniline and the known imidate  $5$ ,<sup>14</sup> purified using silica gel chromatography (4% ethyl [ace](#page-6-0)tate/95% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Reddish crystals (0.19 g, 89%); m[p =](#page-6-0) 58−60 °C; TLC  $R_f$  = 0.43 (5% ethyl acetate/95% hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.33–7.19 (m, 5H), 7.00 (dt, J = 9.9, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 6.40 (dt,  $J = 10.2, 3.3$  Hz, 2H), 4.42 (q,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 1H), 4.04 (br s, 1H), 1.49 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  145.8, 144.7, 128.9, 128.7, 127.1, 125.8, 121.9, 114.4, 53.6, 25.0. When (R)-5 (>98:2 er) was used, an 85% yield (0.061 g) of reddish crystals was obtained as a 35:65 ratio of R:S enantiomers. Chiral HPLC analysis: Chiralcel OD (heptane/*i*-PrOH = 95/5, 1.0 mL/min, 254 nm, 25 °C):  $t_{\text{minor}}$  = 7.52 min,  $t_{\text{major}} = 9.75 \text{ min}$ , 35:65 ratio, 30% ee.

4-Bromo-N- $(1$ -phenylethyl)aniline  $(7d)$ .<sup>24</sup> Prepared using procedure A from 4-bromoaniline and the known imidate  $5$ ,<sup>14</sup> purified using silica gel chromatography (49% dichloro[me](#page-6-0)thane/50% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Off-white solid (0.18 g, 70%); mp = [68](#page-6-0)-71 °C; TLC  $R_f$  = 0.71 (49% dichloromethane/50% hexanes/1% triethylamine); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.33–7.28 (m, 4H), 7.24–7.21 (m, 1H), 7.14 (dt, J = 9.6, 3.2 Hz, 2H), 6.36 (dt, J = 10.0, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 4.43 (q, J  $= 6.8$  Hz, 1H), 4.06 (br s, 1H) 1.50 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 146.3, 144.7, 132.0, 128.9, 127.2, 125.9, 115.0, 109.0, 53.6, 25.1.

2-Bromo-N-(1-phenylethyl)aniline  $(Ze)^{25}$  Prepared using procedure A from 2-bromoaniline and the known imidate  $5$ ,<sup>14</sup> purified using silica gel (19% dichloromethane/80% h[exa](#page-6-0)nes/1% triethylamine). Clear colorless oil (0.18 g, 70%); TLC  $R_f = 0.50$  [\(1](#page-6-0)9% dichloromethane/80% hexanes/1% triethylamine); <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.50 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.44–7.38 (m, 4H), 7.33– 7.29 (m, 1H), 7.07 (ddd, J = 8.0, 7.2 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (ddd, J = 7.6, 7.2 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.48 (dd,  $J = 8.4$ , 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (br d,  $J = 3.6$  Hz, 1H), 4.60 (p, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 1.65 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 144.6, 144.1, 132.3, 128.9, 128.4, 127.1, 125.8, 117.9, 112.8, 109.7, 53.6, 25.3.

N-(1-Phenylethyl)-3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)aniline (7f).<sup>26</sup> Prepared using procedure A (0.29 g, 98%) from 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)aniline and the known imidate  $5^{14}$ , or procedure B (0.75 g, 9[9%](#page-6-0)) from 1phenethyl alcohol 34, purified using silica gel chromatography (19% dichloromethane/80% hex[an](#page-6-0)es/1% triethylamine). White solid (0.29 g, 98%); mp = 56–57 °C; TLC R<sub>f</sub> = 0.47 (19% dichloromethane/80% hexanes/1% triethylamine); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.39–7.37  $(m, 4H)$ , 7.32–7.29  $(m, 1H)$ , 7.15  $(s, 1H)$ , 6.91  $(s, 2H)$ , 4.57  $(q, J =$ 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (br s, 1H), 1.59 (d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  147.9, 143.6, 132.2 (q, J = 33.0 Hz), 129.2, 127.7, 125.6, 123.8 (q,  $J = 271.0$  Hz), 112.6 (q,  $J = 3.0$  Hz), 110.2 (sep,  $J =$ 3.0 Hz), 53.6, 24.6.

2-Nitro-N-(1-phenylethyl)aniline (7g). Prepared using procedure A from 2-nitroaniline and the known imidate  $5$ ,  $^{14}$  purified using silica gel chromatography (49% dichloromethane/50% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Yellow oil (0.24 g, 94%); TLC  $R_f = 0.31$  (50% dichloromethane/50% hexanes); IR (thin film) 3380[,](#page-6-0) [3](#page-6-0)086, 3029, 2972, 2929, 2873, 1618 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.41 (br s, 1H), 8.17  $(dd, J = 8.4, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.25 (m, 6H), 6.64–6.57 (m, 2H), 4.69$  $(p, J = 6.6$  Hz, 1H), 1.65 (d,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 144.6, 143.7, 136.1, 132.3, 129.1, 127.5, 126.8, 125.7, 115.7, 115.3, 53.3, 25.1. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{14}H_{14}N_2O_2$ : C, 69.41; H, 5.82; N, 11.56. Found: C, 69.15; H, 5.90; N, 11.16.

4-(Methylthio)-N-(1-phenylethyl)aniline  $(7h)^{27}$  Prepared using<br>2004/110 A from 4 (methylthio)aniline and the known imidate  $5^{14}$ procedure A from 4-(methylthio)aniline and the known imidate 5, purified using silica gel chromatography (5% [et](#page-6-0)hyl acetate/94% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Orange oil (0.17g, 70%); TLC  $R_f = 0.31$  $R_f = 0.31$ (5% ethyl acetate/94% hexanes/1% triethylamine); IR (thin film) 3411, 3082, 3061, 3026, 2979, 2919, 2867, 1598 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.36–7.29 (m, 4H), 7.24–7.23 (m, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.46 (br d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 4.46 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.51 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 146.2, 145.0, 131.4, 128.8, 127.1, 125.9, 124.2, 114.0, 53.6, 25.0, 19.1.

4-Ethyl-N-(1-phenylethyl)aniline (7i).<sup>28</sup> Prepared using procedure A from 4-ethylaniline and the known imidate  $5,^{14}$  purified using silica gel chromatography (1% dichlorometha[ne](#page-6-0)/98% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Orange oil (0.10 g, 47%); TLC  $R_f = 0.74$  $R_f = 0.74$  $R_f = 0.74$  (1% dichloromethane/98% hexanes/1% triethylamine); <sup>'1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.40−7.22 (m, 5H), 6.94−6.92 (m, 2H), 6.47 (dt, J = 9.0, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 4.45 (q,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 1H), 2.49 (q,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 1.51  $(d, J = 6.6 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H}), 1.15 (t, J = 7.5, 3\text{H});$ <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 145.7, 145.5, 133.3, 128.9, 128.7, 127.1, 126.1, 113.6, 54.0, 28.1, 25.3, 16.2.

2-Fluoro-4-methyl-N-(1-phenylethyl)aniline (7j). Prepared using procedure A from 2-fluoro-4-methylaniline and the known imidate  $5$ ,  $^{14}$ purified using silica gel chromatography (5% ethyl acetate/94% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Clear colorless oil (0.17g, 80%); TLC  $R_f =$  $R_f =$ 0.59 (5% ethyl acetate/94% hexanes/1% triethylamine); IR (thin film) 3431, 3061, 3031, 2968, 2925, 1658 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.38−7.28 (m, 4H), 7.25−7.19 (m, 1H), 6.79 (dd, J = 12.3, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.64−6.61 (m, 1H), 6.40 (br s, 1H), 4.48 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 1.57 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  151.6 (d, J = 236.6 Hz), 145.2, 133.4 (d, J = 11.8 Hz), 128.9, 127.2, 126.7 (d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz), 126.0, 124.9 (d,  $J = 3.1$  Hz), 115.3 (d,  $J =$ 18.2 Hz), 113.5 (d, J = 3.4 Hz), 53.8, 25.3, 20.6 (d, J = 1.1 Hz). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>16</sub>FN: C, 78.57; H, 7.03; N, 6.11. Found: C, 78.31; H, 7.27; N, 5.76.

2-Chloro-N-(1-phenylethyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl)aniline (7k). Prepared using procedure A from 2-chloro-5-(trifluoromethyl)aniline

and the known imidate  $5^{14}$  purified using silica gel chromatography (5% ethyl acetate/94% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Yellow oil (0.28 g, 98[%](#page-6-0)); TLC  $R_f = 0.57$  (5% ethyl acetate/94% hexanes/1% triethylamine); IR (thin film) 3428, 3087, 3066, 3031, 2974, 2930, 2873, 1603 cm<sup>−1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.34−7.25 (m, 6H), 6.80 (ddd, J  $= 8.1, 2.1, 0.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.64 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 1.60 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  143.6, 143.3, 130.1 (q,  $J = 32.0$  Hz), 129.3, 129.0, 127.5, 125.7, 124.0 (q,  $J =$ 271.0 Hz), 122.2, 113.7 (q,  $J = 4.0$  Hz), 108.8 (q,  $J = 4.0$  Hz), 53.4, 24.7. Anal. Calcd for  $\rm C_{15}H_{13}ClF_3N:$  C, 60.11; H, 4.37; N, 4.67. Found: C, 60.27; H, 4.36; N, 4.55.

2-Chloro-4-fluoro-N-(1-phenylethyl)aniline (7I). Prepared using<br>2000/2012 A from 2 chloro 4 fluoroaniline and the known imidate  $5^{14}$ procedure A from 2-chloro-4-fluoroaniline and the known imidate 5, purified using silica gel chromatography (19% dichloromethane/80% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Dark oil (0.27 g, 99%); TLC  $R_f = 0.52$  $R_f = 0.52$ (19% dichloromethane/80% hexanes/1% triethylamine); IR (thin film) 3424, 3064, 3029, 2971, 2929, 2871, 1599 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.33–7.28 (m, 4H), 7.25–7.22 (m, 1H), 7.03 (dd, J = 8.4, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 6.69 (ddd,  $J = 9.0$ , 8.1, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.32 (dd,  $J = 9.0$ , 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (br s, 1H), 4.47 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.57 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  154.4 (d, J = 236.4 Hz) 144.5, 139.9 (d,  $J = 2.2$  Hz), 128.9, 127.2, 125.8, 118.8 (d,  $J = 10.3$ Hz), 116.3 (d, J = 25.8 Hz), 114.3 (d, J = 21.5 Hz), 112.8 (d, J = 8.0 Hz), 53.9, 25.3. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>13</sub>ClFN: C, 67.34; H, 5.25; N, 5.61. Found: C, 67.39; H, 4.97; N, 5.53.

2-Chloro-4-methyl-N-(1-phenylethyl)aniline  $(7m)^{29}$  Prepared using procedure A from 2-chloro-4-methylaniline and the known imidate 5, <sup>14</sup> purified using silica gel chromatography [\(5%](#page-6-0) dichloromethane/94% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Yellow oil (0.22 g, 94%); TLC  $R_f = 0.56$  $R_f = 0.56$  $R_f = 0.56$  (5% dichloromethane/94% hexanes/1% triethylamine); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.35–7.19 (m, 5H), 7.07 (dd, J = 2.1, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.8, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 6.35 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 1.58 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  145.0, 140.8, 129.6, 128.9, 128.4, 127.2, 127.0, 126.0, 119.0, 112.9, 53.8, 25.4, 20.3.

 $N-Methyl-N-(1-phenylethyl)$ aniline (7n).<sup>30</sup> Prepared using procedure A (0.17 g, 84%) from N-methylaniline and the known imidate 5, <sup>14</sup> or procedure B (0.36 g, 82%) from 1-[phe](#page-6-0)nethyl alcohol, purified using silica gel chromatography (4% ethyl acetate/95% hexanes/1% tr[iet](#page-6-0)hylamine). Yellow oil (0.17 g, 84%); TLC  $R_f = 0.32$  (2% ethyl acetate/97% hexane/1% triethylamine); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.34–7.22 (m, 7H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (s, 3H), 1.55 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  150.4, 143.0, 129.4, 128.6, 127.1, 127.0, 116.9, 113.3, 56.7, 32.0, 16.5.

1-(1-Phenylethyl)indoline (70).<sup>31</sup> Prepared using procedure A from indoline and the known imidate  $5^{14}$  purified using silica gel chromatography (5% ethyl aceta[te/](#page-7-0)94% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Dark oil (0.18 g, 74%); TLC  $R_f = 0.52$  $R_f = 0.52$  $R_f = 0.52$  (5% ethyl acetate/94%) hexanes/1% triethylamine); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.42–7.22  $(m, 5H)$ , 7.05 (dd, J = 7.2, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.60  $(t, J = 6.9$  Hz, 1H), 6.35 (d,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H), 4.71 (q,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 3.44−3.28 (m, 2H), 2.94 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 1.53 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  151.7, 143.2, 130.5, 128.7, 127.5, 127.4, 127.2, 124.7, 117.3, 107.6, 54.9, 48.3, 28.5, 16.9.

N-Benzyl-2,5-dichloroaniline (9). Prepared using procedure A (0.23 g, 92%) from 2,5-dichloroaniline 6 and the commercially available benzyl-2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate 8 or procedure B (0.37 g, 64%) from benzyl alcohol, purified using silica gel chromatography (19% dichloromethane/80% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Clear colorless oil (0.23 g, 92%); TLC  $R_f = 0.50$  (20% dichloromethane/80% hexanes); IR (thin film) 3422, 3064, 3030, 2852, 1595 cm<sup>−1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.39–7.29 (m, 5H), 7.18–7.15 (m, 1H), 6.62– 6.59 (m, 2H), 4.76 (br s, 1H), 4.36 (s, 2H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 144.8, 138.1, 133.8, 129.9, 129.1, 127.8, 127.6, 117.5, 117.4, 111.5, 48.0. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{13}H_{11}Cl_2N$ : C, 61.93; H, 4.40; N, 5.56. Found: C, 62.26; H, 4.26; N, 5.51.

2,5-Dichloro-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)aniline (11). Prepared using procedure A from 2,5-dichloroaniline 6 and the commercially available 4-methoxybenzyl-2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate 10, purified with silica gel chromatography (5% ethyl acetate/94% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Yellow oil (0.22 g, 91%); TLC  $R_f = 0.42$  (2% ethyl acetate/97% hexanes/1% triethylamine); IR (thin film) 3422, 3071, 3003, 2958, 2836, 1595 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.26 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.15 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.62−6.58 (m, 2H), 4.66 (br s, 1H), 4.27 (s, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 159.3, 144.9, 133.8, 130.1, 129.9, 128.9, 117.4, 117.2, 114.4, 111.5, 55.5, 47.5. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>13</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>NO: C, 59.59; H, 4.64; N, 4.96. Found: C, 59.61; H, 4.94; N, 4.84.

N-((6-Bromobenzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)methyl)-2,5-dichloroaniline (13). Prepared using procedure A from 2,5-dichloroaniline 6 and the known imidate 12,  $3<sup>2</sup>$  purified using silica gel chromatography (19%) dichloromethane/80% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Yellow oil (0.20 g, 80%); TLC  $R_f = 0.29$  $R_f = 0.29$  (20% dichloromethane/80% hexanes); IR (thin film) 3436, 3107, 3078, 3010, 2915, 1593 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ; 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$  7.17 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 6.82 (s, 1H), 6.62  $(dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (s, 2H), 4.84$  $(t, J = 5.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 4.33 (d,  $J = 5.7 \text{ Hz}, 2H$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3), δ 147.82, 147.76, 144.2, 133.7, 130.0, 129.8, 117.5, 117.4, 113.5, 113.0, 111.4, 108.7, 101.9, 47.8. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{14}H_{10}$ -O<sub>2</sub>NBrCl<sub>2</sub>: C, 44.83; H, 2.69; N, 3.73. Found: C, 45.10; H, 2.74; N, 3.94.

4-(((2,5-Dichlorophenyl)amino)methyl)benzonitrile (15). Prepared using procedure A from 2,5-dichloroaniline 6 and the known imidate  $14<sup>1</sup>$  $1^6$  purified using silica gel chromatography (9% ethyl acetate/90% hexanes/1% triethylamine). White solid (0.11 g, 43%); mp = 114[−](#page-6-0)115 °C; TLC  $R_f$  = 0.59 (50% dichloromethane/50% hexanes); IR (thin film) 3409, 2916, 2224, 1595, 1567 cm<sup>−1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$  7.64 (dd, J = 6.4, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 7.45 (d, J = 8.0) Hz, 2H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H);<br><sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  144.0, 143.7, 133.7, 132.7, 130.0, 127.6, 118.7, 117.8, 117.5, 111.4, 111.3, 47.2. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{14}H_{10}Cl_2N_2$ : C, 60.67; H, 3.64; N, 10.11. Found: C, 60.32; H, 3.58; N, 9.80.

N-Benzhydryl-2,5-dichloroaniline (17). Prepared using procedure A (0.28 g, 87%) from 2,5-dichloroaniline 6 and the known imidate  $16<sup>33</sup>$  and procedure B (0.39 g, 88%) from diphenylmethanol, purified using silica gel chromatography (10% dichloromethane/89% hexanes/ 1[% t](#page-7-0)riethylamine). Clear colorless oil (0.28 g, 87%); TLC  $R_f = 0.59$ (10% dichloromethane/89% hexanes/1% triethylamine); IR (thin film) 3417, 3031, 2925, 1656, 1596 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.34–7.25 (m, 10H), 7.16 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (dd J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.44 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 5.52 (s, 1H), 4.95 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 144.1, 141.9, 133.7, 129.9, 129.2, 128.7, 128.0, 127.6 117.7, 112.7, 62.7. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{19}H_{15}Cl_2N$ : C, 69.52; H, 4.61; N, 4.27. Found: C, 69.75; H, 4.62; N, 4.60.

2,5-Dichloro-N-(1-(p-tolyl)ethyl)aniline (19). Prepared using procedure A from 2,5-dichloroaniline 6 and the known imidate  $18^{34}$ purified using silica gel chromatography (5% ethyl acetate/94% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Clear colorless oil (0.17 g, 68%); TLC  $R_f$ = 0.81 (5% ethyl acetate/95% hexanes); IR (thin film) 3422, 2968, 2923, 2867, 1594 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.23–7.20 (m, 2H), 7.16−7.12 (m, 3H), 6.53 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (br s, 1H), 4.46 (q,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.55 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  143.9, 140.8, 136.9, 133.4, 129.6, 125.6, 117.1, 116.9, 112.2, 53.0, 24.9, 21.1 (one signal in the aromatic region was not resolved). Anal. Calcd for C15H15Cl2N: C, 64.30; H, 5.40; N, 5.00. Found: C, 64.52; H, 5.20; N, 4.74.

N-Allyl-2,5-dichloroaniline (21). Prepared using procedure A from 2,5-dichloroaniline 6 and the commercially available O-allyl 2,2,2 trichloroacetimidate 20, purified using silica gel chromatography (4% dichloromethane/94% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Clear colorless oil (0.19 g, 77%); TLC  $R_f = 0.24$  (5% dichloromethane/95% hexanes); IR(thin film) 3426, 3086, 3013, 2985, 2926, 2850, 1645, 1595 cm<sup>-1</sup>;<br><sup>1</sup>H NMB (300 MHz, CDCl) δ 716–713 (m, 1H) 661–658 (m <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.16–7.13 (m, 1H), 6.61–6.58 (m, 2H), 5.94−5.87 (m, 1H), 5.32−5.20 (m, 2H), 4.52 (br s, 1H), 3.82 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  144.6, 134.0, 133.6,

129.7, 117.3, 117.0, 116.8, 111.3, 45.9; Anal. Calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>9</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N: C, 53.49; H, 4.49; N, 6.69. Found: C, 53.40; H, 4.89; N, 6.69.

2,5-Dichloro-N-(cyclohex-2-en-1-yl)aniline (23). Prepared using procedure A from 2,5-dichloroaniline 6 and the known imidate  $22,^{35}$ purified using silica gel chromatography (2% ethyl acetate/97% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Orange oil (0.19 g, 79%); TLC  $R_f = 0.60$  $R_f = 0.60$ (5% ethyl acetate/95% hexanes); IR (thin film) 3418, 3026, 2938, 2862, 1593 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ; 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.14 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.57 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.94- 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.75−5.70 (m, 1H), 4.36 (br d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (br s, 1H), 2.09−2.05 (m, 2H), 1.96−1.89 (m, 1H), 1.77−1.63 (m, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 143.8, 133.6, 131.2, 129.9, 127.4, 117.3, 116.5, 111.3, 47.7, 28.6, 25.1, 19.5; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{12}H_{13}Cl_2N$ : C, 59.52; H, 5.41; N, 5.78. Found: C, 59.38; H, 5.27; N, 5.45.

2,5-Dichloro-N-(2-phenylpropan-2-yl)aniline (25). Prepared using<br>2004 read to from 2.5 dichloroaniling 6 and the known imidate 24.<sup>36</sup> procedure A from 2,5-dichloroaniline 6 and the known imidate 24, purified using silica gel chromatography (9% dichloromethane/90% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Clear colorless oil (0.22 g, 42%); TLC  $R_f$ = 0.58 (10% dichloromethane/90% hexanes); IR (thin film) 3419, 3042, 3011, 2857, 1578 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.45– 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.34 (tt,  $J = 6.8$ , 1.6 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (tt,  $J = 6.8$ , 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.48 (dd, J = 8.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.06 (d, J  $= 2.4$  Hz, 1H), 4.84 (br s, 1H), 1.68 (s, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 145.9, 142.7, 132.7, 129.6, 128.9, 126.9, 125.4, 118.0, 116.8, 114.4, 56.1, 30.5. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{15}Cl_2N$ : C, 64.30; H, 5.40; N, 5.00. Found: C, 63.95; H, 5.71; N, 4.70.

N-(tert-Butyl)-2,5-dichloroaniline (27). Prepared using procedure A from 2,5-dichloroaniline 6 and the commercially available tert-butyl 2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate 26, purified using silica gel chromatography (19% dichloromethane/80% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Clear colorless oil (0.01 g, 5%); TLC  $R_f = 0.45$  (20% dichloromethane/80% hexanes); IR (thin film) 3416, 3086, 3060, 2981, 1592, 1504 cm<sup>−1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.14 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (br s, 1H), 1.40 (s, 9H);  $13$ C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 143.6, 132.9, 129.8, 118.6, 116.6, 113.8, 51.4, 29.6. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{10}H_{13}Cl_2N$ : C, 55.06; H, 6.01; N, 6.42. Found: C, 55.13; H, 6.35; N, 6.13.

2-(((2,5-Dichlorophenyl)amino)methyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (31). Prepared using procedure A from 2,5-dichloroaniline 6 and the known imidate  $30^{37}_{2}$  purified using silica gel chromatography (20%) ethyl acetate/79% hexanes/1% triethylamine). White powder (0.24 g, 74%); mp = [20](#page-7-0)0–201 °C; TLC  $R_f$  = 0.83 (20% ethyl acetate/79% hexanes/1% triethylamine); IR (KBr) 3397, 3067, 1718, 1657 cm<sup>-1</sup>;<br><sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl) δ 7.88–7.86 (m, 2H) 7.74–7.72 (m <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.88–7.86 (m, 2H), 7.74–7.72 (m, 2H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.47 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 168.2, 142.0, 134.4, 133.8, 131.8, 130.1, 123.7, 119.0, 118.0, 112.4, 46.6. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{10}Cl_2N_2O_2$ : C, 56.10; H, 3.14; N, 8.72. Found: C, 56.06; H, 3.33; N, 8.51.

2,5-Dichloro-N-(1,4-diphenylbut-3-yn-1-yl)aniline (33). Prepared using procedure A from 2,5-dichloroaniline 6 and the known imidate  $32,38$ purified using silica gel chromatography (4% dichloromethane/ 95% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Yellow solid (50 mg, 63%); mp = 86[−](#page-7-0)88 °C; TLC R<sub>f</sub> = 0.54 (10% ethyl acetate/90% hexanes); IR (KBr) 3380, 3114, 2989, 1594, 1504 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.42−7.27 (m, 10H), 7.15 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.57 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (q, J  $= 5.6$  Hz, 1H), 3.05 (dd, J = 16.8, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.91 (dd, J = 16.8, 6.4 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  143.8, 141.1, 133.4, 131.6, 129.7, 128.9, 128.3, 128.1, 127.9, 126.2, 123.0, 117.7, 117.5, 112.6, 84.8, 84.2, 56.5, 29.3. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{22}H_{17}Cl_2N$ : C, 72.14; H, 4.68; N, 3.82. Found: C, 72.35; H, 4.63; N, 3.82.

N-((6-Bromobenzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)methyl)-N-methylaniline (39). Prepared using procedure B from the known 6-bromo-1,3 benzodioxole-5-methanol  $38^{39}$  and N-methylaniline 36, purified using silica gel chromatography (49% dichloromethane/50% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Yellow solid [\(0.](#page-7-0)81 g, 81%); mp = 72−74 °C; TLC  $R_f$  = 0.37 (50% dichloromethane/50% hexanes); IR (KBr) 3436, 3093, 3064, 2893, 2827, 2565, 1597 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.24−7.20 (m, 2H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 6.73 (dt, J = 7.2, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.73−

<span id="page-6-0"></span>6.65 (m, 3H), 5.93 (s, 2H), 4.44 (s, 2H), 3.07 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 149.2, 147.8, 147.3, 131.0, 129.3, 116.8, 113.0, 112.6, 112.1, 108.0, 101.7, 57.4, 38.8. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{14}O_2NBr: C$ , 56.27; H, 4.41; N, 4.37. Found: C, 56.43; H, 4.28; N, 4.36.

Onosmin  $\bf{B}$  (4).<sup>5</sup> Prepared using procedure  $\bf{B}$  with 4-methylbenzyl alcohol 40 and 2-aminomethylbenzoate 41, purified using silica gel chromatography (29% dichloromethane/70% hexanes/1% triethylamine). Clear colorless oil (0.26 g, 85%); TLC  $R_f = 0.55$  (10% ethyl acetate/90% hexanes); IR (thin film) 3368, 3078, 3020, 2949, 2921, 2847, 1681 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.14 (br s, 1H), 7.92 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (ddd, J = 8.5, 7.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.26− 7.23 (m, 2H), 7.14 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 2H), 6.65 (dd,  $J = 8.0$ , 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (ddd, J = 8.0, 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (s, 2H), 3.86, (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 169.1, 150.9, 136.8, 135.7, 134.6, 131.6, 129.4, 127.1, 114.8, 111.7, 110.2, 51.5, 46.8, 21.1. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{16}H_{17}O_2N$ : C, 75.27; H, 6.71; N, 5.49. Found: C, 75.54; H, 6.67; N, 5.83.

### ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## **6** Supporting Information

Copies of  ${}^{1}H$  NMR and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectra of new compounds as well as chiral HPLC data for compounds 5 and 7c. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

#### ■ [AUTHO](http://pubs.acs.org)R INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: jdchisho@syr.edu (J.D.C.).

#### Notes

The auth[ors declare no co](mailto:jdchisho@syr.edu)mpeting financial interest.

#### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Acknowledgement is made to the Donors of the American Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund for a recent New Directions award (54823-ND1). We also thank the Syracuse University Lewis Stokes Alliances for Minority Participation for a summer fellowship for P.C.S. in 2013. Support for the Syracuse University NMR facility was provided by the National Science Foundation (CHE-1229345), which is gratefully acknowledged.

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Adhikari, A. A.; Shah, J. P.; Howard, K. T.; Russo, C. M.; Wallach, D. R.; Linaburg, M. R.; Chisholm, J. D. Synlett 2014, 283. (b) Shah, J. P.; Russo, C. M.; Howard, K. T.; Chisholm, J. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 1740.

(2) (a) Clader, J. W. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 1. (b) Earl, J.; Kirkpatrick, P. Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2003, 2, 97. (c) Rosenblum, S. B.; Huynh, T.; Afonso, A.; Davis, H. R., Jr.; Yumibe, N.; Clader, J. W.; Burnett, D. A. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 973.

(3) Baechler, S. A.; Fehr, M.; Habermeyer, M.; Hofmann, A.; Merz, K.-H.; Fiebig, H.-H.; Marko, D.; Eisenbrand, G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2013, 21, 814.

(4) Onoda, T.; Iinuma, H.; Sasaki, Y.; Hamada, M.; Isshiki, K.; Naganawa, H.; Takeuchi, T.; Tatsuta, K.; Umezawa, K. J. Nat. Prod. 1989, 52, 1252.

(5) Ahmad, I.; Nawaz, S. A.; Afza, N.; Malik, A.; Fatima, I.; Khan, S. B.; Ahmad, M.; Choudhary, M. I. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2005, 53, 907.

(6) (a) Frazer, A.; Morales Alma, R.; Woodward Adam, W.; Tongwa, P.; Timofeeva, T.; Belfield Kevin, D. J. Fluoresc. 2014, 24, 239. (b) Ramachandram, B.; Sankaran, N. B.; Samanta, A. Res. Chem. Intermed. 1999, 25, 843. (c) Wehner, M.; Janssen, D.; Schaefer, G.; Schrader, T. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 138.

(7) (a) Dvolaitzky, M.; Chiarelli, R.; Rassat, A. Angew. Chem. 1992, 104, 180. (b) Anderson, J. C.; Cran, J. W.; King, N. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 3849. (c) Bacque, E.; El Qacemi, M.; Zard, S. Z. Org.

Lett. 2005, 7, 3817. (d) Watanabe, T.; Oishi, S.; Fujii, N.; Ohno, H. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1759. (e) Rousseaux, S.; Davi, M.; Sofack-Kreutzer, J.; Pierre, C.; Kefalidis, C. E.; Clot, E.; Fagnou, K.; Baudoin, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10706. (f) Pierre, C.; Baudoin, O. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1816.

(8) Cran, J. W.; Vidhani, D. V.; Krafft, M. E. Synlett 2014, 25, 1550. (9) Arnold, J. S.; Zhang, Q.; Nguyen, H. M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 4925.

(10) (a) Arnold, J. S.; Stone, R. F.; Nguyen, H. M. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4580. (b) Arnold, J. S.; Cizio, G. T.; Nguyen, H. M. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5576. (c) Arnold, J. S.; Nguyen, H. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 8380. (d) Arnold, J. S.; Cizio, G. T.; Heitz, D. R.; Nguyen, H. M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 11531. (e) Arnold, J. S.; Nguyen, H. M. Synthesis 2013, 45, 2101. (f) Arnold, J. S.; Mwenda, E. T.; Nguyen, H. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3688.

(11) Brawn, R. A.; Guimaraes, C. R. W.; McClure, K. F.; Liras, S. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4802.

(12) (a) Cumpstey, I.; Ramstadius, C.; Borbas, K. E. Synlett 2011, 1701. (b) Cumpstey, I.; Frigell, J.; Pershagen, E.; Akhtar, T.; Moreno-Clavijo, E.; Robina, I.; Alonzi, D. S.; Butters, T. D. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2011, 7, 1115.

(13) Piemontesi, C.; Wang, Q.; Zhu, J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 1533.

(14) Cramer, F.; Pawelzik, K.; Baldauf, H. J. Chem. Ber. 1958, 91, 1049.

(15) (a) Baxter, E. W.; Reitz, A. B. Org. React. 2002, 59, 1. (b) Abdel-Magid, A. F.; Carson, K. G.; Harris, B. D.; Maryanoff, C. A.; Shah, R. D. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 3849.

(16) Zhang, J.; Schmidt, R. R. Synlett 2006, 1729.

(17) (a) Fujita, K.-i.; Enoki, Y.; Yamaguchi, R. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 1943. (b) Martinez-Asencio, A.; Yus, M.; Ramon, D. J. Synthesis 2011, 3730. (c) Wang, D.; Guo, X.-Q.; Wang, C.-X.; Wang, Y.-N.; Zhong, R.; Zhu, X.-H.; Cai, L.-H.; Gao, Z.-W.; Hou, X.-F. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 1117. (d) Banerjee, D.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 13049. (e) Hamid, M. H. S. A.; Slatford, P. A.; Williams, J. M. J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 1555. (f) Hamid, M. H. S. A.; Allen, C. L.; Lamb, G. W.; Maxwell, A. C.; Maytum, H. C.; Watson, A. J. A.; Williams, J. M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 1766. (g) Watson, A. J. A.; Maxwell, A. C.; Williams, J. M. J. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 2328. (h) Ma, W. M. J.; James, T. D.; Williams, J. M. J. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4850. (i) Cano, R.; Ramon, D. J.; Yus, M. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 5547. (18) (a) De, S.; Ghosh, S.; Bhunia, S.; Sheikh, J. A.; Bisai, A. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4466. (b) De, S.; Mishra, S.; Kakde, B. N.; Dey, D.; Bisai, A. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 7823.

(19) Kuethe, J. T.; Marcoux, J.-F.; Wong, A.; Wu, J.; Hillier, M. C.; Dormer, P. G.; Davies, I. W.; Hughes, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 7378.

(20) Raber, D. J.; Harris, J. M.; Schleyer, P. v. R. In Ions and Ion Pairs in Organic Reactions; Szwarc, M., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1974; Vol. 2, p 247.

(21) Pangborn, A. B.; Giardello, M. A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Rosen, R. K.; Timmers, F. J. Organometallics 1996, 15, 1518.

(22) Li, L.; Huang, G.; Chen, Z.; Liu, W.; Wang, X.; Chen, Y.; Yang, L.; Li, W.; Li, Y. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 2012, 5564.

(23) Liu, X.-Y.; Che, C.-M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4204.

(24) Zhu, S.-F.; Xie, J.-B.; Zhang, Y.-Z.; Li, S.; Zhou, Q.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 12886.

(25) Ciana, C.-L.; Phipps, R. J.; Brandt, J. R.; Meyer, F.-M.; Gaunt, M. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 458.

(26) Kaspar, L. T.; Fingerhut, B.; Ackermann, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5972.

(27) Johns, A. M.; Utsunomiya, M.; Incarvito, C. D.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1828.

(28) Pan, W.; Deng, Y.; He, J.-B.; Bai, B.; Zhu, H.-J. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 7253.

(29) Menche, D.; Hassfeld, J.; Li, J.; Menche, G.; Ritter, A.; Rudolph, S. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 741.

(30) Baeza, A.; Pfaltz, A. Chem.-Eur. J. 2009, 15, 2266.

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>(31) Shahane, S.; Louafi, F.; Moreau, J.; Hurvois, J.-P.; Renaud, J.-L.; van de Weghe, P.; Roisnel, T. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 4622.
- (32) Angle, S. R.; Choi, I.; Tham, F. S. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 6268.
- (33) Ali, I. A. I.; El Ashry, E. S. H.; Schmidt, R. R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 4121.
- (34) Onyeozili, E. N.; Mori-Quiroz, L. M.; Maleczka, R. E. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 849.
- (35) Bachi, M. D.; Korshin, E. E.; Hoos, R.; Szpilman, A. M.; Ploypradith, P.; Xie, S.; Shapiro, T. A.; Posner, G. H. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 2516.
- (36) Yue, C.; Thierry, J.; Potier, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 323. (37) Ali, I. A. I.; Abdel-Rahman, A. A. H.; El Ashry, H. E. S.; Schmidt, R. R. Synthesis 2003, 1065.
- (38) Kang, J.-E.; Kim, H.-B.; Lee, J.-W.; Shin, S. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3537.
- (39) Rigby, J. H.; Cavezza, A.; Heeg, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 3664.